The Research-Driven Solution to Raise the Quality of High School Core Courses



Five-Day Professional Development Description

Days 1–3

Module: Defining Rigor and Relevance

| Essential Question: What does a rigorous high school course look, feel, and sound like? | |
|--|---|
| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
| • Explain the "big picture" of QualityCore® professional development—i.e., what participants are expected to do as a result of this training | • Use the definitions of rigor and relevance to reflect on one's own lessons and units |
| • Introduce the ACT research report <i>On Course For Success</i> and facilitate a focused reading and discussion of the report | • Discuss how <i>On Course for Success</i> can inform curriculum development and teaching |
| • Define and discuss the terms rigor and relevance according to the QualityCore model | |

Module: Depth of Knowledge and Cognitive Demand

Essential Question: How can we know that our expectations of students are at a level of rigor that prepares them for the End-of-Course Assessment and for success in college or the workplace?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| • Introduce the Depth of Knowledge (DOK) Framework for analyzing assessment items | • Explain the differences between the cognitive levels of the DOK |
| Review Webb's descriptions of student performance at each level Facilitate an analysis and discussion of items from the QualityCore Formative Item Pool | Analyze sample items from the Formative Item Pool for DOK Use the Test Blueprint for QualityCore to review the percentages of items found at each DOK level and discuss the classroom assessment implications of that distribution |



Module: Analyzing ACT Course Standards

| Essential Question: How can QualityCore be used to help evaluate the rigor of high school courses? | |
|---|--|
| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
| Introduce the ACT Course Standards worksheet Guide participants through a comparison of their course objectives and the ACT Course Standards Facilitate a discussion on the gaps between the two sets of standards with a focus on the following questions: | Articulate how participants' course objectives compare to the ACT Course Standards and identify gaps Discuss the implications of these gaps for improving instruction in their own classrooms |
| 1. Are there specific pedagogical reasons to not include the missing standards? | |
| 2. If a missing standard is not addressed, where would that standard be taught? | |

Module: Spotlight on the Educator's Toolbox

Essential Question: How can we use research-based strategies to increase rigor and relevance in our classrooms?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| Introduce strategies shown to have a positive effect on student achievement Review the four categories by which strategies are grouped in the Toolbox Guide participants in an exploration of the Educator's Toolbox and debrief with questions and observations | Identify instructional strategies that will increase rigor and relevance Use the Toolbox to find alternative instructional strategies Plan how and when to use these strategies |

Module: Developing High-Quality Assignments

Essential Question: How can we identify and design more rigorous assignments?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|--|
| Explain the use of the Template to Examine Assignments for Rigor and Relevance Model the process of using the Template to Examine Assignments for Rigor | • Examine an assignment to determine appropriate depth of knowledge to be considered a rigorous assignment |
| and RelevanceFacilitate as participants work in pairs to use the template to determine how to improve one of their own assignments | Examine an assignment to determine if it is rigorous and relevant Adapt assignments to ensure rigor and relevance |

Module: Using Constructed Response Items

Essential Question: How can constructed response (CR) items be used to increase the rigor of our courses and improve student performance?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|---|---|
| Introduce Formative Item Pool (FIP) and Test Builder Have participants score papers from a CR item and compare their scores to ACT's expert scorers results Introduce the QualityCore scoring rubrics | Describe how CR items can be used to increase rigor in the classroom Analyze CR items for what they can tell you about students' knowledge, misconceptions, and learning needs |

Module: Getting Acquainted with the Model Instructional Unit

Essential Question: How can we use the Model Instructional Unit to think about how we can improve our own instruction?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| Facilitate an exploration of a QualityCore model unit Assist groups in resolving questions and concerns Highlight examples of embedded assessments in the units Point to the instructional practices that are used in the model units | Locate the key features of a QualityCore model unit Consider how to incorporate key features of the model unit into participants instruction Identify one or more practices that participants can immediately incorporate into their own teaching |

Module: Creating a Course Syllabus

Essential Question: How can we use the QualityCore Course Syllabus as a model for improving the rigor and relevance in our own courses?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| • Explain the rationale for providing a syllabus to students at beginning of the school year | • Compare participants own syllabi to the QualityCore Course Syllabus and make |
| • Lead a discussion on the features of the QualityCore Course Syllabus for a specific course | Draft a personal statement to include in participants syllabi |
| • Pose guiding questions to highlight the importance of a personal statement | participants synabl |

Module: Using QualityCore Educator Resources in Instruction

Essential Question: How can we use QualityCore Educator Resources to ensure that our instruction is rigorous and relevant?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| • Review the QualityCore Educator Resources that have been discussed over the last 2+ days | • Identify strengths and gaps in participants own units compared to the QualityCore units |
| • Guide groups through a discussion that results in charting their next steps | • Identify ways that participants can strengthen their own classroom practice using QualityCore materials and methods |

Day 4

Module: Looking at Student Work

Essential Question: How can looking at student work help us to better understand what students know and help us to improve instruction?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|---|--|
| Present the justification for looking at student work Explain the use of the QualityCore Template to Examine Student Work Lead participants in practicing the use of the template with examples of student work | Compare student work to elements of a standard Explain why a given sample of student work does or does not meet all elements Work collaborationly with other teachers to a standard other teachers. |
| • Facilitate a discussion of how using the QualityCore template can improve instruction | Work collaboratively with other teachers to determine next steps for students based on identified strengths and weaknesses Use student work as a foundation for examining instructional practices |

Module: Scaffolding Instruction

Essential Question: How can scaffolding instruction increase the rigor of my classroom and allow more students to achieve at higher levels?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|--|
| Use slides to explain the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) Facilitate participants as they consider ways to scaffold students from their own classes based on the work they brought to the session | Explain the concepts of ZPD and scaffolding Describe ways to scaffold learning in the classroom Apply the process of scaffolding to a lesson or assignment |

Module: Incorporating QualityCore into a Lesson

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|---|
| • Review the Educator Resources that are provided by QualityCore | • Analyze a lesson for rigor and relevance |
| Explain the use of the Revising Lessons Worksheet | • Apply strategies from the Educator's Toolbox |
| • Facilitate participants working with partners to analyze lessons and to share their lessons with the whole group | and scaffolding techniques to make the lesson accessible to all students |

Day 5

Module: Scaffolding Assessments to Match Instruction

Essential Question: How can scaffolding assessments ensure rigor in instruction?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|---|--|
| Review the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) Lead participants though similar problems of varying complexity to model the analysis of what is required of students | Analyze a task to determine what students need to know, what they need to do first, etc. Relate scaffolding to the DOK levels |
| • Discuss the relationship between Depth-of-Knowledge (DOK) levels and the characteristics that make problems amenable to scaffolding | • Recognize which problems can and cannot be scaffolded |
| • Facilitate practice and discussion as participants choose items from the FIP for possible scaffolding | • Create scaffolded sub-tasks for an appropriate problem |

Module: Examining Student Work

Essential Question: How can we use the QualityCore Template to Examine Student Work to improve instruction?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|---|--|
| Review the steps in the Template to Examine Student Work Facilitate a role-playing activity using the template and the work that participants brought to the session Lead a discussion of the importance of considering the implementations for instruction | Become more experienced in using the Template to Examine Student Work Consider ways to use the template with colleagues in department or grade level meetings |

Module: Revising Lessons for Rigor and Relevance

Essential Question: How can we use QualityCore Educator Resources to improve our lessons and assignments?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|--|--|
| Facilitate the sharing of participants' lessons Direct discussion of lessons to include elements of rigorous instruction Model feedback on lessons Take a lesson from the text and model the process, charting the process and taking participants' input Guide participants (again) through the steps from the Rigor and Relevance worksheet to rethink what was strong and what they might change in their sample lesson | Examine lessons for rigor and coherence with ACT Course Standards Apply a process to examine and modify lessons Systematically consider elements of QualityCore that will improve daily instruction and assessment |

Module: Next Steps and Preparing for the Assessment

Essential Question: How can QualityCore Educator Resources support the provision of a rigorous and relevant curriculum?

| Presenter Goals | Participant Objectives |
|---|--|
| Remind participants of the items in the Formative Item Pool Focus participants on their next steps in using the QualityCore training and materials for continued instructional improvement | Examine their course syllabus to determine if core content will be addressed prior to the Endof-Course Assessment Choose and apply strategies to effectively use assessment items and prepare their students for the End-of-Course Assessment |

Educator Resources Binder

(each participant receives a course-specific binder)

- 1. ACT Course Standards
- 2. Course Description and Syllabus
- 3. Course Outline
- 4. Instructional Units Plan
- 5. Model Instructional Unit
- 6. Template to Examine Assignments for Rigor and Relevance
- 7. Benchmark Assessment from Formative Item Pool
- 8. Formative Item Pool: Using Test Builder
- 9. End-of-Course Test Blueprint
- 10. Educator's Guide
- 11. Guidelines for Developing an Instructional Unit
- 12. On Course for Success: A Close Look at Selected High School Courses That Prepare All Students for College and Work
- 13. Rigor at Risk: Reaffirming Quality in the High School Core Curriculum
- 14. CD containing supplemental Model Instructional Units